

Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union



Erasmus+ Programme, Key Action 2 - Strategic Partnership (2017-1-IT02-KA201-036701)

The European Commission's support for the production of this publication does not constitute an endorsement of the contents, which reflect the views only of the authors, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein.

## **IRIS PROJECT**

## QUESTIONNAIRE FOR COLLECTING GOOD PRACTICES

First draft by Graziella Favaro

Premise

Linguistic diversity is an important aspect of Europe's DNA. It includes different languages: the national languages, the official languages, the minority languages, the dialectal varieties and the languages of the immigrants.

On the basis of this statement, the IRIS project aims to recognize and enhance the linguistic diversity of the six partner countries and is organized around three main axes:

1. Teaching / learning the school language by newly arrived students, or allophones students of all kind;.

2. The recognition of the mother tongue of immigrant pupils and children of immigrants as an individual right and an opportunity for all (L1);

3. The development of strategies enabling different learners to transfer from one language to another the communication skills acquired in the different languages of their own repertoire.

In the first phase of the IRIS project, we propose to draw a description of the contexts and the identification of the good practices on the three topics, proposing to the partners to complete an open questionnaire and to share documents, projects and materials on the common platform.

The QUESTIONNAIRE for the collection of data on best linguistic practices is divided into 5 parts:

A. LINGUISTIC CONTEXT

### **B. PRESENCE OF IMMIGRANT AND ALLOPHONE STUDENTS**

C. LEARNING /TEACHING L2 TO IMMIGRANT AND ALLOPHONE STUDENTS: GOOD PRACTICES

D. RECOGNITION AND VALORISATION OF MOTHER TONGUE: GOOD PRACTICES

### E. ANNEX

The QUESTIONNAIRE is open and should be enriched with documents, links to sites, bibliographic references. It can serve as a trace for a synthetic national report and for the collection of good practices: materials, projects, tools and methods, in relation with the age of the students and the different school levels.

#### First name, last name, name of the institution

#### E-mail

## A. LINGUISTIC CONTEXT

### A.1a

The national situation. Below the picture describing the language situation of the six partner countries of the IRIS project.



Source: Eurydice, Languages at School in Europe 2017

#### A.1b

Below a table representing the national, official, regional and minority languages recognized in the six partner countries of the IRIS project

State languages and regional, minority or non-territorial languages with official status, 2015/2016

STATE	State language	Regional and/or minority language with official status
AT	German	Czech, Croatian, Hungarian, Slovak, Slovenian, Romany
EL	Greek	
FR	French	
π	Italian	Catalan, German, Greek, French, Friulian, Croatian, Ladin, Occitan, Provençal, Slovenian, Albanian, Sardinian
RO	Romanian	Bulgarian, Czech, German, Greek, Croatian, Hungarian, Polish, Romany, Russian, Slovak, Serbian, Turkish, Ukrainian
SE	Swedish	Finnish, Meänkieli, Sami, Romany, Yiddish

Source: Eurydice, Languages at School in Europe 2017

A1.c	
Notes and possible integrations	
Notes and possible integrations	
A.2	
What are the most widespread languages among minor immigrants ar	d
children of immigrants?	
Introduce the ranking for the most common languages among the minor immigrants	

What are the most studied foreign languages in your school system?
Introduce the ranking for the first 8 languages studied

A.4 Does it exist documents or guidelines on the plurilingualism of foreign and immigrant students?

Insert a reference to these documents and some extracts

A.4 Notes

## **B. PRESENCE OF FOREIGN AND ALLOPHONE STUDENTS**

### Β1

Recent data on the integration of students with an immigrant background, with particular reference to newly arrived students

Enter recent data on: foreign students by school level; foreign students according to their language and nationality, newly arrived foreign students, school failure of foreign students

### B.2

Changes during the past two years about the presence of students with an immigrant background

### B.3

Is there an organization or an institution at the national and/or regional level that deals with this topic?

Indicate, describe and refer to the related link

B.4

Legislation for the school integration of students with immigrant background and newly arrived

Insert references to recent regulation and introduce significant extracts

### B.5a

The following picture distinguishes between a model based on separated classes and one based on integrated classes



Source: Eurydice, Languages at School in Europe 2017

B.5b Which insertion model is adopted in your context? B.5c

Notes and possible adds

## c. TEACHING / LEARNING OF THE SCHOOL LANGUAGE

### C.1

### Description of the situation

Description of the situation at national and / or local level with particular reference to: organizational strategies; who teaches the second language;initial and continuous training of teachers teaching languages and non-linguistic subjects in school; strengths and weaknesses

C.2

**Regulation or guidelines** 

Insert references and extracts from the regulations

### C.3

Describing TWO good practices related to experiences concerning different ages and school levels (cfr Annex . Section E).

Distinguish between pre-primary school 3-6 years; primary school; secondary school; vocational training (introduce a description and refer to links and websites).

C.4

Notes

# D. RECOGNITION AND VALORISATION OF PLURILINGUALISM AND MOTHER TONGUE : GOOD PRACTICES

### D.1

### Description of the situation.

State whether special attention is paid to the languages of origin of pupils with a migrant background: learning at school and in the curricular for non-EU languages; optional teaching/learning in extra-curricular time; bilingual education; other.

D.2

Teachers' attitudes towards the languages of origin of immigrant students: language teachers and non-language teachers.

### D.3

DescribeTWO good practices related to different ages and school levels (See Annex E)

Describe projects, materials and methods (insert description and references to links and documents)

### D.4 Notes

# E. ANNEX

Track to follow in the description of good practices (points C.3 and D.3).

Title of the project
Venue of the project
Coordinating institution
Other organisations, institutions, associations involved n the project

Description of the project (objectives, actions, methods...)

Agenda

### Methods

Main beneficiaries (characteristics, data)

Documentation of a project (outputs, materials...)

### Notes

Annexes (if any)

Contacts (name of the referent, site, e-mail, phone number)



Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union



Erasmus+ Programme, Key Action 2 - Strategic Partnership (2017-1-IT02-KA201-036701)

The European Commission's support for the production of this publication does not constitute an endorsement of the contents, which reflect the views only of the authors, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein.